

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

EXAMINER

APPLICATION NO. 10/001,490

FILING DATE 10/31/2001

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Stanley J. Kopecky

112703-206

29156

7590

11/30/2004

PICKETT, JOHN G

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC P.O. BOX 1135

CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3728

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/001,490	KOPECKY, STANLEY J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory Pickett	3728
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 August 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action of John P10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
	•	
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action acknowledges the applicant's Amendment submitted 9 August
 Claims 1-22 are pending in the application.

- 2. In the interview conducted 6 August 2004, the examiner had indicated that the proposed amendment appeared to overcome the art of record. However, upon further consideration of the wording of the claim and the prior art of record, the examiner must reverse this position. The combination of Ranney-Hachenski-Howes-Focke, as applied to claims 9 and 17 in previous Office Actions, provides for a removable insert and appears to provide indicia unobstructed by the folds of the end wall. In order to ensure the applicant has adequate opportunity to consider this position, this Office Action is made NON-FINAL.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ranney (US 2,380,367) in view of Hachenski (US 6,138,905), Howes (US 5,896,686), and Focke et al (US 5,375,704).

Regarding claims 1 and 9, Ranney discloses a gum package (Figure 1) having a body (16) with a removable end wall (16'). The removable end wall (16') has an inner

surface, the entirety of which is only visible when the end is removed. End wall (16') is at least partially and permanently removed from the body upon separation of tear strip (21). Ranney is deformable and meets all limitations claimed by the applicant except for giveaway information being unobstructed by the folds of the end wall and visible by viewing the inner surface.

Hachenski discloses a container with printed matter on the inner surface of its lid. Hachenski teaches the provision of a promotion (see Col. 6, lines 20-23). Howes teaches the equivalence of a promotion and giveaway information. Hachenski discloses providing the information on the inside of the closure and only visible when the package is opened (see for example, Col. 2, lines 39-41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the gum package of Ranney with giveaway information on the inner surface of the closure as taught by Hachenski and Howes in order to promote the sale of the product.

Focke et al discloses a package (12) with giveaway information provided on a removable insert (28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the package of Ranney-Hachenski-Howes with a removable insert as taught by Focke et al in order to limit the production requirements of the consumer to claim their prize (i.e., it would only be necessary for the consumer to provide the insert to claim the prize).

The package of Ranney-Hachenski-Howes-Focke discloses the claimed invention.

As to claim 2, the gum package of Ranney is rectangular in shape.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,490

Art Unit: 3728

As to claim 3, the gum package of Ranney discloses tab and ribbon (21, as shown, Figure 1).

As to claim 4, the gum package of Ranney is constructed in part from a flexible foil.

As to claim 5, Howes discloses giveaway information adapted to inform the consumer whether or not they have won a prize.

As to claim 6, Howes discloses giveaway information concerning a contest.

As to claim 7, Hachenski discloses information printed on the inner surface.

As to claim 8, Focke et al does not expressly disclose stamping information on the inner surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the giveaway information on the inner surface of the end wall of the gum package of Ranney-Hachenski-Howes-Focke by stamping since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of stamping and printing for their use in the information providing art and the selection of any of these known equivalents to provide giveaway information would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

As to claim 10, the gum package of Ranney houses a plurality of sticks of chewing gum.

Regarding claims 11 and 17, Ranney discloses a package (Figure 1) having a flexible body (16) with inner surfaces defining an interior for housing products and a removable end wall (16'). The removable end wall (16') has an inner surface, the entirety of which is only visible when the end is removed. The removable end wall (16') is at least partially and permanently removable by grasping and pulling member (21).

Application/Control Number: 10/001,490

Art Unit: 3728

Ranney is deformable and meets all limitations claimed by the applicant except for giveaway information being unobstructed by the folds of the end wall and visible by viewing the inner surface.

Hachenski discloses a container with printed matter on the inner surface of its lid. Hachenski teaches the provision of a promotion (see Col. 6, lines 20-23). Howes teaches the equivalence of a promotion and giveaway information. Hachenski discloses providing the information on the inside of the closure and only visible when the package is opened (see for example, Col. 2, lines 39-41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the gum package of Ranney with giveaway information on the inner surface of the closure as taught by Hachenski in order to promote the sale of the product.

Focke et al discloses a package (12) with giveaway information provided on a removable insert (28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the package of Ranney-Hachenski-Howes with a removable insert as taught by Focke et al in order to limit the production requirements of the consumer to claim their prize (i.e., it would only be necessary for the consumer to provide the insert to claim the prize).

The package of Ranney-Hachenski-Howes-Focke discloses the claimed invention.

As to claim 12, member (21) of Ranney is a tab.

As to claim 13, Ranney discloses grasping a tab and tearing a portion of the body to remove the end wall.

As to claim 14, Hachenski discloses information printed on the inner surface.

As to claim 15, the package of Ranney is constructed in part from a flexible foil.

As to claim 16, the package of Ranney is rectangular in shape.

Regarding claim 18, the gum package of Ranney-Hachenski-Howes-Focke as applied above discloses the claimed method by presentation.

As to claim 19, the package of Ranney-Hachenski-Howes-Focke provides a tab for tearing the package to remove the end wall.

As to claim 20, the package of Ranney-Hachenski-Howes-Focke discloses providing printing on the inner surface.

As to claim 21, the package of Ranney-Hachenski-Howes-Focke discloses providing printing on the inner surface. Ranney-Hachenski-Howes-Focke does not expressly disclose stamping information on the inner surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the giveaway information on the inner surface of the end wall of the gum package of Ranney-Hachenski-Howes-Focke by stamping since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of stamping and printing for their use in the information providing art and the selection of any of these known equivalents to provide giveaway information would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

As to claim 22, the package of Ranney-Hachenski-Howes-Focke is rectangular in shape.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 9 August 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The combination of Ranney-Hachenski-Howes-Focke, as applied to claims 9 and 17 in previous Office Actions, provides for a removable insert and appears to provide indicia unobstructed by the folds of the end wall.

Conclusion

6. In order to ensure the applicant has adequate opportunity to consider the revised position presented by the examiner, this Office Action is made **NON-FINAL**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory Pickett whose telephone number is 571-272-4560. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,490

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Greg Pickett Examiner

16 November 2004

Mickey Yu
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700

Page 8